

No. ID/FD/338/82/3539.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Asis and the management of M/s Shri Nathji Industries, 3H-125, NIT, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Asis was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/343/82/3546.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Sumer and the management of M/s Joy B. Industries Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Sumer was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/125/82/3553.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Gulam Husain, and the management of The Panipat Co-operative Sugar Mills Limited (Distillery Unit), Panipat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Gulam Husain was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/352/82/3559.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kharak Singh and the management of M/s Venus Paper Mills Limited, 50, N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kharak Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/339/82/3566.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ramesh and the management of M/s A.C.O. Industries, Plot No. 210, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ramesh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 2nd February, 1983

No. ID/RTK/152-81/3878.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raghbir Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raghbir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/192/82/3885.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shiv Kumar and the management M/s of Anil Rubber Mills, Plot No. 30, Sector 6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shiv Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/1-53/3892.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Anant Ram and the management of M/s Premier Straw Boards Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 87-88, Sector 25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Anant Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/121/82/3899.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Gulshan Kumar and the management of M/s Shri L. N. Hindu College, Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Gulshan Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?